

MASSIVE SPINNING PARTICLE IN ANY DIMENSION. II. (HALF-)INTEGER SPINS

S. L. Lyakhovich, A. A. Sharapov and K. M. Shekhter

Physics Department, Tomsk State University, 634050 Tomsk, Russia

Abstract

The general model of an arbitrary spin massive particle in any dimensional space-time is derived on the basis of Kirillov - Kostant - Souriau approach.

PACS codes: 02.20.-a; 11.30.Cp; 03.65.pm; 11.90.+t.

Keywords: spinning particles, Poincaré group, orbit method, constrained dynamics, geometric quantization.

1 Introduction

The Lagrangian description of the relativistic spinning particles is one of the recurrently discussed themes in high energy physics, having a long history. The retrospective exposition of the question and some basic references can be found in the review paper [1]. In the context of M -theory the models of spinning particles awake today fresh interest as special but highly nontrivial examples of 0-branes which along with some other extended objects are considered to be the basic ingredients of the non-perturbative string theory [2]. Since the target space of a consistent string theory has certainly more than four dimensions (10 or perhaps 11) the observable space-time is supposed to result from the Kaluza-Klein compactification of extra dimensions in the low energy limit. In so doing the effective dimension of p-brane may decrease down to zero when it is considered from the viewpoint of four-dimensional observer. The classical example is the double dimensional reduction of $d = 11$ supermembrane to the type II A string in $d = 10$ [3]. This opens up an interesting possibility to interpret the spinning particles as low energy effective models of the p-branes in higher-dimensional space-time, which compact directions are associated with the spinning degrees of freedom of the particle.

All this gives rise to the question about the construction of the mechanical models of relativistic spinning particles in the space-time of arbitrary dimension. The reach kinematical symmetries underlying the models enable one to treat them as *elementary dynamical systems* in the Souriau sense [4] and to apply for their description the full machinery of the symplectic geometry. (For applications of this approach see also [5]).

In the framework of this scheme the whole dynamical information about the space-time and phase-space evolution of the system is encoded in a presymplectic manifold $\mathcal{E}$ being a homogeneous transformation space of the group G for which the system is elementary one. For a given physical system, the choice of $\mathcal{E}$ is very ambiguous and there is no precise prescription for it. Fortunately, there is no matter how to choose particular $\mathcal{E}$ when describing free particle: any $\mathcal{E}$ leads to the proper classical dynamics ¹.

Various models of spinning particles are known with $4D$ symmetry groups: Poincaré [6, 7], de Sitter [8] and Galilei [9]. The $3D$ and $6D$ analogues to these models can be found in ref. [11, 10], for superextensions see [12, 13]. The covariant operatorial quantization of these models leads to the Hilbert space of physical states carrying the unitary irreducible representation of the respective groups. Some of these models have, however, a common problem of constructing a consistent extension to the case of spinning particle subject to exterior fields. The obstacle is that the space-time dynamics of the particle, arising in these models in some cases, are characterized by two-dimensional world-tubes rather than world-lines. The non-local behavior of such a type, sometimes referred to as the phenomenon of *Zitterbewegung* [14], is usual for the relativistic particles with spin and presents the main obstruction to the switching on a local interaction. Note that the difficulty is not inherent to these systems, as they are, but it is rather related to the way of their description, which basically depends on the particular choice of $\mathcal{E}$. In particular, the model of the ref [7], in $d = 4$, does not display the *Zitterbewegung*, and it allows the consistent interaction.

In recent paper [15] we have constructed the model for a massive spinning particle living in d -dimensional space-time and coupled to an arbitrary background of gravity and electromagnetism. The underlying presymplectic manifold was identified with that for the spinless particle times a regular (co)adjoint orbit of space rotations group

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{spinless} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{s}}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{spinless} = \mathbf{R}^{d-1,1} \times B, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{s}} = SO(d-1)/[SO(2)]^r$$

Here $r = \text{rank } SO(d-1) = [(d-1)/2]$ and B stands for the upper sheet of the mass hyperboloid: $p_A p^A = -m^2$, $p_0 > 0$. The whole space of invariant presymplectic structures on $\mathcal{E}$ is parametrized by $r+1$ numbers $m, \mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ associated with mass and spin(s) of the particle. The space-time motion of the particle is described here by the one-dimensional world-lines (time-like geodesics of Minkowski space) and, as a result, the model is free from the above mentioned obstacle to the interaction. For the sake of explicit Poincaré covariance, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{s}}$ was symplectically embedded into $\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{R}\mathbf{C}^{d-1,1}$ equipped with the natural action of the Lorentz group $SO(d-1,1)$ and invariant symplectic form. This realization for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{s}}$ proves to be especially suitable for the covariant quantization of integer-spin particle but it becomes inadequate when the half-integer spins are considered since the quantum-mechanical description for the latter case is based on the group $Spin(d-1,1)$ rather than $SO(d-1,1)$. In order to take into account the half-integer spins, one should replace, from the very beginning, the proper Lorentz group $SO(d-1,1)$ by its double covering $Spin(d-1,1)$.

¹ For example, one can always identify $\mathcal{E}$ with the underlying symmetry group G itself.

In this paper we modify the model in the way which provides a uniform quantum-mechanical description for both integer and half-integer spins. For these ends, the phase space of spinning degrees of freedom $\mathcal{O}_s$ is embedded into the carrier space of the Lorentz group $\mathbf{C}^{2[d/2]} \oplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathbf{R}_C^{d-1,1}$, where the first factor transforms under the spinor representation. Then the (half-)integer spin representations may be obtained by applying either geometric or Dirac quantization to this model. A remarkable property of this realization for $\mathcal{O}_s$ is that the use of spinor variables makes possible to resolve the mass-shell condition $p_A p^A = -m^2$ in a Lorentz-invariant manner. It can be thought about as an extension to the massive case of the twistor realization known for the isotropic momenta of a massless particle in special dimensions: 3, 4, 6 and 10. The distinction is that the spinor variable carries now an information about both the mass hyperboloid B and the internal space for spin $\mathcal{O}_s$. The details of this construction are presented in the next section together with the generalization to the case of minimal coupling to exterior gravitational and electromagnetic fields.

In Section 3, we reformulate the model as Hamiltonian system with the first and second class constraints and study the physical spectrum of the theory within the Dirac quantization scheme. The physical wave functions, being extracted by the quantum operators assigned to the constraints, are shown to be in one-to-one correspondence with the Poincaré-irreducible (spin-)tensor fields in Minkowski space.

We conclude the paper by discussing the obtained results and some further perspectives.

2 Classical description

As it was mentioned in the previous section the extended phase space of the massive spinning particle is constructed to be the direct product of the extended phase space of the spinless particle and the manifold $\mathcal{O}_s$, responsible for spinning degrees of freedom. The former factor is standardly embedded into the cotangent bundle $T^*(\mathbf{R}^{d-1,1})$ of the Minkowski space by the mass-shell condition

$$p_A p^A + m^2 = 0 \quad (2)$$

while the latter is identified with so-called flag manifold, which points may be viewed as the sequences

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_r \subset \mathbf{R}_C^{d-1,1},$$

$$p \perp V_k, \quad \dim V_k = k$$

of complex p -transversal vector subspaces of complexified Minkowski space, telescopically embedded into each other. In the previous paper [15], we have suggested the holomorphic parametrization for $\mathcal{O}_s$ with the help of r independent complex vectors $Z_i^A \subset \mathbf{R}_C^{d-1,1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$; $A = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$ subject to the conditions

$$(Z_i, Z_j) = 0, \quad (p, Z_i) = 0, \quad Z_i^A \sim Z_j^A \Lambda_i^j, \quad (3)$$

where Λ_i^j is a complex non-degenerate upper-triangular $r \times r$ matrix, and $(\dots, \dots)$ denotes the inner product with respect to the Minkowski metric $\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(-, +, \dots, +)$. In this realization, each V_k is spanned by the vectors $Z_1^A, Z_2^A, \dots, Z_k^A$ and the last relation in (3) establishes the equivalence between all such frames in V_k .

To construct a spinor realization for $\mathcal{O}_s$, it is sufficient to parametrize a subspace V_r by a spinor variable. Since some subsequent expressions may differ for the cases of even- and odd dimensions, the formulae will be labeled with the letters a and b for the former and latter case respectively.

Consider the Dirac spinor ψ_a , $a = 1, \dots, 2^{[d/2]}$ subject to the following constraints and equivalence relations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_a &\sim \lambda \psi_a, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ p_A \Gamma_a^{Ab} \psi_b &= m \psi_a, \quad Z_{kA} \Gamma_a^{Ab} \psi_b = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, r \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Accounting (4) and the Fierz identities, the spinor bilinear is decomposed in the basis of the Clifford algebra generated by Γ -matrices as follows:

$$\psi \otimes \tilde{\psi} = M_{A(r)} \left\{ \Gamma^{A(r)} - \frac{(-1)^r}{m} p_B \Gamma^{BA(r)} \right\}, \quad (5.a)$$

$$\psi \otimes \tilde{\psi} = M_{A(r)} \Gamma^{A(r)}, \quad (5.b)$$

where $\tilde{\psi}$ is the charge conjugated spinor² $\tilde{\psi}^a = (\psi C)^a$. Hereafter we use the shorthand notation $A(r) = A_1 \dots A_r$. Tensor $M_{A(r)} = \frac{(-1)^{r(r+1)/2}}{2^{[d/2]} r!} (\tilde{\psi} \Gamma_{A(r)} \psi)$ obeys equations

$$\begin{aligned} M_{[A(r)} Z_{kB]} &= 0, \quad Z_k^A M_{AA(r-1)} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, r, \\ p^A M_{AA(r-1)} &= 0, \quad M_{A(r)} \sim \lambda^2 M_{A(r)} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Making use of (6) one can express $M_{A(r)}$ in terms of the Z_i . For these ends it is convenient to introduce another parametrization of V_k with the help of k -forms $\mathcal{Z}^k$ determined from the equations

$$\mathcal{Z}^k(\bar{Z}_1, \bar{Z}_2, \dots, \bar{Z}_k) = \det(Z_i \bar{Z}_j), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k, \quad (7)$$

that establish a relation between $\mathcal{Z}$ and Z

$$\mathcal{Z}_{A(k)}^k \sim Z_{1[A_1} Z_{2A_2} \dots Z_{kA_k]} \quad (8)$$

Tensors $\mathcal{Z}_{A(k)}^k$ satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{[A(i)}^i \mathcal{Z}_{B]B(k-1)}^k &= 0, \quad \eta^{AB} \mathcal{Z}_{AA(i-1)}^i \mathcal{Z}_{BB(k-1)}^k = 0, \quad p^A \mathcal{Z}_{AA(k-1)}^k = 0, \\ \mathcal{Z}_{A(k)}^k &\sim a_k \mathcal{Z}_{A(k)}^k, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, r, \quad i \geq k, \quad a_k \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

² The charge conjugation matrix C is determined from the relation $\Gamma_A^T = (-1)^r C \Gamma_A C^{-1}$

resulting from the definitions (3). Now it is easy to see that the general solution to the equations (6) has the form

$$M_{A(r)} \sim \mathcal{Z}_{A(r)}^r \quad (10)$$

Thus the r -dimensional complex vector subspace V_r can be parametrized by the spinor ψ subject to the conditions (4). Making use of (8) we obtain the equivalent parametrization of $\mathcal{O}_s$ in terms of $(r-1)$ complex vectors Z_i^A , $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$, $A = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$ and the spinor ψ subject to equivalence relations

$$Z_i^A \sim Z_j^A \Lambda_i^j, \quad \psi \sim \lambda \psi, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \quad (11)$$

and constraints

$$(p, Z_i) = 0, \quad p_A \Gamma^A \psi = m \psi, \quad (12)$$

$$(Z_i, Z_j) = 0, \quad Z_{iA} \Gamma^A \psi = 0 \quad (13)$$

Here Λ_i^j is a complex non-degenerate upper-triangular $(r-1) \times (r-1)$ matrix. In terms of introduced objects the most general expression for the Kähler potential on $\mathcal{O}_s$ is

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\Delta_1^{s_1} \dots \Delta_{r-1}^{s_{r-1}} \Delta_r^{s_r}), \quad (14)$$

$$\Delta_i = Z_{1A_1} \dots Z_{iA_i} \bar{Z}_1^{[A_1} \dots \bar{Z}_i^{A_i]}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r-1, \quad \Delta_r = (\bar{\psi} \psi)^2,$$

where $\bar{\psi}^a = (\psi^* \Gamma_0)^a$ is the Dirac conjugated spinor. Note that Φ depends on p implicitly in view of constraints (12). Under transformations (11) Φ changes to an additive constant

$$\delta_{\Lambda, \lambda} \Phi = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \ln |\Lambda_1^1 \Lambda_2^2 \dots \Lambda_k^k|^{s_k} + \ln |\lambda|^{2s_r} \quad (15)$$

The direct product structure of $\mathcal{E}$ allows to introduce the one-form θ being a sum of conventional one-form $p_A dx^A$ on $\mathcal{E}_{spinless}$ describing the space-time dynamics of the particle and a one-form on $\mathcal{O}_s$ governing the spinning dynamics. We will put

$$\theta = p_A dx^A + *d\Phi, \quad (16)$$

where the action of the star operator on the complex one-forms is defined as $*(\alpha_I dz^I + \beta_I d\bar{z}^I) = -i(\alpha_I dz^I - \beta_I d\bar{z}^I)$. Notice that θ is invariant under transformations (11) modulo closed one-form and thus the Hamiltonian action for the system may be chosen as

$$S = \int_{\gamma} \theta \quad (17)$$

The extremals of the action (17) coincide with the leaves of $\ker d\theta$. By construction $\ker d\theta$ is generated by the only vector field $\mathbf{V} = p_A \partial / \partial x_A$ and hence the tangent vector to the trajectory is proportional to $\mathbf{V}$. This means

$$\dot{x}^A = \mu p^A, \quad \dot{p}_A = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\dot{Z}_i^A = 0, \quad \dot{\psi}_a = 0, \quad (\text{modulo transformations (11)})$$

where μ is a Lagrange multiplier associated with the reparametrization invariance. Thus the particle moves along the time-like geodesics in the Minkowski space while the internal degrees of freedom do not evolve.

The interesting feature of the action (17) is that the Dirac equation on ψ subject to the rest constraints (11, 12, 13) may be covariantly resolved with respect to p_A . To solve this equation, we multiply it by ψ_c and make use of the decomposition (5) together with Fierz identities for spinor bilinears. This results in relations

$$p^A(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma_{AA(r-1)}\psi) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\psi}\Gamma_{AA(r)}\psi) = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}(r+1)}{m}p_{[A}(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma_{A(r)}\psi) , \quad (19.a)$$

$$p^A(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma_{AA(r-1)}\psi) = 0, \quad \epsilon_{A(r)BC(r)}p^B(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma^{C(r)}\psi) = (-1)^{1+r(r+1)/2}r!mi^r(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma_{A(r)}\psi) \quad (19.b)$$

Contracting the second equation in (19.a) with $(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma^{A(r)}\psi)^*$ one can express p_A via the spinor variables

$$p_A = \bar{p}_A(\psi, \psi^*) \equiv (-1)^{r+1}m \frac{[(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma_{AB(r)}\psi)(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma^{B(r)}\psi)^* + c.c.]}{2|(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma_{C(r)}\psi)|^2} \quad (20.a)$$

For the odd-dimensional case the similar trick (with $(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma^{B(r)}\psi)^*$ replaced by $\epsilon^{A(r)CD(r)}(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma_{D(r)}\psi)^*$) yields

$$p_A = \bar{p}_A(\psi, \psi^*) \equiv m \frac{(-1)^{r(r-1)/2}i^r\epsilon_{AB(r)C(r)}(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma^{B(r)}\psi)(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma^{C(r)}\psi)^*}{r!|(\tilde{\psi}\Gamma_{D(r)}\psi)|^2} \quad (20.b)$$

Since equations (19) form the overdetermined system, the expressions (20) being inserted back into (19) will lead to some consistency conditions which should be imposed on ψ besides the holonomic constraints (13). Note that the mass-shell condition (2) reduces to the purely algebraic relation on ψ which is valid due to these constraints. Thus we obtain the parametrization of the massive hyperboloid in terms of the spinor ψ_a subject to the conditions (11, 13) and (19) (with p replaced by $\bar{p}$)³. This resembles to the twistor parametrization of the light-cone [16] which, however, is connected with the division algebras and therefore exists in 3, 4, 6 and 10 dimensions only. The derived construction may be considered as its generalization to the case of arbitrary dimensional space-time with the exception that the spinor ψ contains information about both the space-time momentum and intrinsic degrees of freedom. For the special case of $d = 4$ such a construction was previously used in [17].

Substituting $\bar{p}_A$ in (16), we immediately derive the Lagrangian of the system

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{p}_A(\psi, \psi^*)\dot{x}^A - i(\dot{Z}_i^A\partial_A^i\Phi + \dot{\psi}_a\partial^a\Phi - c.c.) \quad (21)$$

The Lagrangian is obviously invariant under the global Poincaré transformations, reparametrizations of the worldline and changes to a total derivative under the gauge transformations associated with equivalence relations (11)

$$Z_i^A(\tau) = Z_j^A(\tau)\Lambda_i^j(\tau) , \quad \psi'_a(\tau) = \lambda(\tau)\psi_a(\tau) \quad (22)$$

³ These constraints will constitute spin-shell conditions, i.e. they will fix the value of the phase space Casimir functions of the Poincaré group.

The global Poincaré symmetry leads to the on-shell conservation of the Hamiltonian counterparts of Poincaré generators $\mathbf{P}_A$, $\mathbf{M}_{AB}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_A &= \bar{p}_A, \quad \mathbf{M}^{AB} = x^A \bar{p}^B - x^B \bar{p}^A + S^{AB}, \\ S^{AB} &= 2i\{Z_i^A \partial^{iB} - \bar{Z}_i^A \bar{\partial}^{iB} - (\Sigma^{AB})_b^a (\psi_a \partial^b + \bar{\psi}_a \bar{\partial}^b)\} \Phi\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

$(\Sigma_{AB})_a^b = -\frac{1}{4}[\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B]_a^b$ being the Lorentz generators in the spinor representation.

Let us now specify the expressions (19, 20) to the case of $d = 4$. Then the spinning sector is parametrized by one variable ψ defined modulo multiplication by a complex nonzero constant and subject to the Dirac equation. The expression for p_A reads

$$p_A = \bar{p}_A(\psi, \psi^*) \equiv m \frac{(\tilde{\psi} \Gamma_{AB} \psi)(\tilde{\psi} \Gamma^B \psi)^* + c.c.}{2 |(\tilde{\psi} \Gamma_C \psi)|^2}\tag{24}$$

and the consistency conditions take the form

$$\bar{p}^A(\tilde{\psi} \Gamma_A \psi) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\psi} \Gamma_{AB} \psi) = \frac{2}{m} \bar{p}_{[A}(\tilde{\psi} \Gamma_{B]} \psi)\tag{25}$$

The independent equations may be easily picked out among (25) after transition to the two-component spinor formalism, $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$. In terms of the Weyl spinors the Lagrangian can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L} = m \frac{\dot{x}_A (\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^A) (\lambda^a \bar{\chi}^{\dot{a}} + \chi^a \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{a}})}{2(\lambda_a \chi^a)} + is \frac{(\dot{\lambda}_a \chi^a) - (\dot{\bar{\lambda}}_a \bar{\chi}^a)}{(\lambda_a \chi^a)}\tag{26}$$

and the spinors λ and χ are restricted to satisfy

$$\mathbf{Im}(\lambda_a \chi^a) = 0\tag{27}$$

As is seen, the momentum conjugated to x^A is the function of λ and χ and the mass-shell condition is valid due to the (27).

The action (17) allows the coupling to the background gravitational and electromagnetic fields. The standard method of introducing the interaction implies to consider the gauge fields associated with the vielbein e_μ^A , torsion-free spin connection $\omega_{\mu AB}$ and the electromagnetic potential A_μ . Then the minimal covariantization of (17) reads

$$S = \int \tilde{\theta},\tag{28}$$

$$\tilde{\theta} = (p_A e_\mu^A - e A_\mu) dx^\mu + *D\Phi,$$

where D is the Lorentz covariant differential along the particle worldline

$$DZ_i^A = dZ_i^A + dx^\mu \omega_\mu^A{}_B Z_i^B,$$

$$D\psi_a = d\psi_a + dx^\mu \omega_{\mu AB} \Sigma_a^{ABb} \psi_b,$$

and e is the electric charge. The relations (2, 12) on the momentum p_A are still assumed to hold. The action (28) generates the following equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}^\mu &= \lambda e_A^\mu p^A, \quad \frac{Dp_A}{d\tau} + eF_{AB}p^B = (\lambda/4)R_{ABCD}p^B S^{CD}, \\ \frac{DZ_i^A}{d\tau} &= 0, \quad \frac{D\psi_a}{d\tau} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Here $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the strength tensor of the electromagnetic field and $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is a curvature of the space-time. Thus the dynamics of the particle in the curved space-time is described by first two equations while the motion in the spinning sector reduces to the parallel transport along the worldline.

To conclude, let us note that the gauge symmetry (22), being required to survive on the quantum level, implies the restriction on the possible values of the parameters s_i entering the Kähler potential. Proceeding by analogy with the integer spin case [15] one can show that in quantum theory s_i , $i = 1, \dots, r-1$ are constrained to be integer and s_r - (half-)integer numbers.

3 Quantization

In this section we will present the covariant quantization of the model described. Let us start with the Lagrangian (21) complemented with the conditions (13) and (19). This Lagrangian leads to the following primary constraints

$$\begin{aligned} T_A &= p_A - \bar{p}_A \approx 0, \\ \nabla_A^i &= q_A^i + i\partial_A^i \Phi \approx 0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_A^i = (\nabla_A^i)^*, \\ \nabla^a &= \pi^a + i\partial^a \Phi \approx 0, \quad \bar{\nabla}^a = (\nabla^a)^* \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

(as well as the above mentioned holonomic ones). Here p_A , q_A^i and π^a are the momenta conjugated to x^A , Z_i^A and ψ_a respectively, $\bar{p}_A$ is defined by rels. (20). The system (31) allows a transition to the more suitable basis of constraints where relations (19) have been already accounted

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &= (p, Z_i) \approx 0, \quad T_a = p_A \Gamma^A \psi - m\psi \approx 0, \\ \bar{\nabla}_A^i &\approx 0, \quad \bar{\nabla}^a \approx 0 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and the complex conjugated constraints are also implied. Stabilization of the constraints yields the mass-shell condition

$$T = p_A p^A + m^2 \approx 0 \tag{33}$$

It should be noted that the constraints (32, 33) are not independent. Moreover, only first class constraints amongst (32) ⁴ can be covariantly extracted:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_j^i &= (Z_j, q^i) + i\delta_j^i l_i, \quad \bar{\Pi}_j^i = (\Pi_j^i)^*, \quad i \geq j, \\ \Pi &= (\psi_a \pi^a) + i l_r, \quad \bar{\Pi} = (\Pi)^*,\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

$$l_i = \sum_{k=i}^{r-1} s_k, \quad l_r = 2s_r$$

Constraints Π_j^i and Π generate the gauge transformations (11). Following the covariant quantization scheme, the set of variables (x, p, Z, q, ψ, π) is associated to the self-adjoint operators acting in a Hilbert space of the particle states. The physical states $|\Psi\rangle$ are singled out from the space of smooth functions on $\mathbf{R}^{d-1,1} \times \mathbf{C}^{(r-1)d} \times \mathbf{C}^{2d/2}$ by imposing the first class constraint operators and a half of the second class ones. Since the latter cannot be presented explicitly we will impose on the physical states the operatorial counterparts of the expressions (32) (accounting thereby the half of the second class constraints), together with $\hat{\Pi}_j^i$ and $\hat{\Pi}$. As a result, the physical states are annihilated by the operators $\hat{T}, \hat{T}_a, \hat{T}_i, \hat{\Pi}, \hat{\Pi}_j^i, \hat{\nabla}^a, \hat{\nabla}_A^i$. In the coordinate representation for (Z, q, ψ, π) and the momentum one for (x, p)

$$q_A^i \rightarrow -i\partial_A^i, \quad \pi^a \rightarrow -i\partial^a, \quad x^A \rightarrow i\partial^A\tag{35}$$

the constraint equations for the wave function $\Psi(Z, \bar{Z}, \psi, \psi^*, p)$ take the following explicit form:

$$(Z_j^A \partial_A^i - \delta_j^i n_i) \Psi = 0, \quad (\psi_a \partial^a - n) \Psi = 0\tag{36}$$

$$\bar{\partial}_A^i \Psi + (\bar{\partial}_A^i \Phi) \Psi = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^a \Psi + (\bar{\partial}^a \Phi) \Psi = 0\tag{37}$$

The wave function is defined on the surface (12, 13). Note that the constants n, n_i may differ from their classical values l, l_i due to the different operator ordering prescriptions for $\hat{\Pi}$ and $\hat{\Pi}_j^i$. We fix the ambiguity in the factor ordering by the requirement that the physical wave functions should remain unchanged under the gauge transformations (11) which implies vanishing of n and n_i . Any other ordering will lead to the unitary equivalent theory. The general solution for (37) reads

$$\Psi(Z, \bar{Z}, \psi, \psi^*, p) = \exp(-\Phi(Z, \bar{Z}, \psi, \psi^*)) \Theta(Z, \psi, p)\tag{38}$$

Substituting (38) in (36) one gets

$$(Z_j^A \partial_A^i - \delta_j^i l_i) \Theta = 0, \quad (\psi_a \partial^a - l_r) \Theta = 0\tag{39}$$

Since the manifold $\mathcal{O}_s$ is compact the eigenvalues l_i, l_r prove to be integers with eigenfunctions Θ represented by the polynomials of the form

$$\Theta(Z, \psi, p) = \Theta(p)_{A(l_1)B(l_2)...C(l_{r-1})a(l_r)} Z_1^{A(l_1)} Z_2^{B(l_2)} ... Z_{r-1}^{C(l_{r-1})} \tilde{\psi}^{a(l_r)},\tag{40}$$

⁴ Of course, (33) is also first class.

where we denote $Z_1^{A(l)} \equiv Z_1^{A_1} \dots Z_1^{A_l}$, $\tilde{\psi}^{a(l)} \equiv \tilde{\psi}^{a_1} \dots \tilde{\psi}^{a_l}$; the symmetry of the indices is described by the Young diagram

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_{l_1} \\ \hline B_1 & B_2 & \dots & B_{l_2} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline C_1 & C_2 & \dots & C_{l_{r-1}} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Notice that, by virtue of relations (11, 12, 13), the coefficients $\Theta(p)_{A\dots C a\dots}$ are assumed to be p -transversal, traceless and Γ -traceless

$$p^A \Theta(p)_{\dots A \dots} = 0, \quad \eta^{AB} \Theta(p)_{\dots A \dots B \dots} = 0, \quad \Gamma_a^{Ab} \Theta(p)_{\dots A \dots b \dots} = 0 \quad (41)$$

In spinor indices Θ is subject to the Dirac equation

$$p_A \Gamma_a^{Ab} \Theta(p)_{\dots b \dots} = m \Theta(p)_{\dots a \dots} \quad (42)$$

Equations (41, 42) constitute together the full set of d -dimensional relativistic wave equations on irreducible massive spin tensor fields which are obtained after Fourier transform of $\Theta(p)$. The space $\mathcal{H}_{m,s}$ of functions Ψ (38) representing the particle states is endowed with the Hilbert space structure with respect to the following Hermitian inner product

$$\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_2 \rangle = \int_{p^2=-m^2} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{p_0} \int_{\mathcal{O}_s} d\mu \bar{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 \quad (43)$$

where

$$d\mu = d * d\Phi \wedge d * d\Phi \wedge \dots \wedge d * d\Phi$$

is the Liouville measure on $\mathcal{O}_s$. Integration over the spinning degrees of freedom may be performed explicitly resulting with the standard field-theoretical inner product

$$\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_2 \rangle = N \int_{p^2=-m^2} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{p_0} \bar{\Theta}(p)_1^{A(l_1)B(l_2)\dots C(l_{r-1})a(l_r)} \Theta(p)_{2A(l_1)B(l_2)\dots C(l_{r-1})a(l_r)} \quad (44)$$

where $\bar{\Theta}^{\dots a(l_r)} = \Theta^{*\dots \dot{a}(l_r)}(\Gamma_0)^{\dot{a}_1 a_1} \dots (\Gamma_0)^{\dot{a}_r a_r}$ and N is a constant depending on spin of the particle.

Thus the covariant operatorial quantization of the model yields the irreducible representation of the Poincaré group with quantum numbers fixed by the constants originally entering the Kähler potential. We would like also to note that the procedure of geometric quantization provides another way of quantizing these systems with the same result (see [15] where the model of integer spin massive particle is quantized within this approach).

4 Conclusion

Let us discuss some possible links between the spinning particle model suggested and some problems of interest in other topics of the field theory and mention some open questions related to the paper results.

In this paper we have extended the description of the integer spin particle [15] to a general (half-)integer case in arbitrary dimension. The key ingredients of the construction are the covariant realization of the spin phase space $\mathcal{O}_s$ by means spinor variables subject to certain covariant constraints and the respective invariant one-form (16), which defines the action functional for the model.

It is curious to note that, due to the constraints, the particle momentum can be explicitly expressed in terms of the spinning variables that resolves, in fact, the mass-shell condition. The corresponding Lagrangian (21), being written without canonical momenta p_A , leads to the Hamiltonian formulation, where the spin-shell conditions include an actual first class constraint, related to the reparametrization invariance, whereas the mass-shell condition is an identity on the second class constraint surface. In this sense, we observe an interchange of the common roles of the spin- and mass-shell conditions in spinning particle models. This unusual situation could be useful for constructing action functionals for the corresponding higher spin fields. For these fields, being defined on the mass-shell, the spin-shell conditions would serve as field equations. One may hope that the longstanding problem of the higher spin interaction would have more transparent form in this formulation, as just the spinning structure of the fields is in the focus of these equations, whereas inessential mass-shell is obeyed by construction.

As far as the interaction problem is concerned, we may mention that, at least at the formal level, it is solved in this paper for the coupling of the spinning particle to a general gravity and electromagnetic background fields. The extension can be immediately got to the particle interaction with the dynamical fields by adding the free field Lagrangian in the action. However, the less formal aspect related to the selfacceleration problem [18] remains unclear now in the selfconsistent theory of the particle coupled to the dynamical fields. In ref. [18] has been shown that the selfacceleration of the particle does not occur when it is coupled to a very special spectrum of the fields. This special field spectrum is known for a $d = 4$ spinless particle only. For higher dimensions and/or nonzero spin, the solution to the selfacceleration problem could be different. It should be mentioned also that the interaction to the non-abelian gauge fields requires to equip the particle with isospinning degrees of freedom. This could be done, in general, along the same lines as it is performed for a genuine spin in this paper, although the isospin requires different inner manifold instead of $\mathcal{O}_s(1)$. In this way, the isopin-shell conditions should appear as phase space constraints. However, it is unclear from the outset, whether the interplay between spin and isospin in the constraint algebra would be consistent to an arbitrary Yang-Mills background field.

Another problem, where this model may seem to gain some importance, is the relationship between strings and spinning particles. This relationship is commonly known at the level of the quantum string state spectrum which includes an infinite number of massive excitations of various spins. These excitations are usually thought about as states of certain spinning particles. However, it remains yet unclear how the nonzero string modes (subject to the Virasoro constraints) may form the spinning sector of the particle phase space. In other words, the question is how the surface of Virasoro constraints in the string phase space is stratified into the spinning particle presymplectic manifolds $\mathcal{E}(1)$. Comprehension of the structure of this stratification seems to be relevant for study

of a reduction procedure in string theory. The geometry of the spinning particle phase space, revealed in this paper, forms a basis to study the relationship between strings and particles in this direction.

5 Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the grant Joint INTAS-RFBR 95-829 and RFBR 98-02-16261. S.L.Lyakhovich appreciates the financial support from the ISSEP.

References

- [1] A. Frydryszak, *Lagrangian models of particles with spin: the first seventy years*, hep-th/9601020.
- [2] P. K. Townsend, *Four lectures on M-theory*, hep-th/9612121.
- [3] M. J. Duff, *Supermembranes*, CTP-TAMU-61/96, hep-th/9611203.
- [4] J. M. Souriau, *Structure of dynamical systems* (Birkhauser, Boston, 1997).
- [5] C. Duval and P. Horvathy, *Particles with internal structure: the geometry of classical motions and conservation laws*, Ann. of Phys. **142**, (1982), 10.
- [6] S. M. Kuzenko, S. L. Lyakhovich and A. Yu. Segal, *A geometric model of the arbitrary spin massive particle*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A10**, (1995), 1529.
- [7] S. L. Lyakhovich, A. Yu. Segal, A. A. Sharapov, *A universal model of $D = 4$ spinning particle*. Phys. Rev. **D54**, (1996), 5223.
- [8] S. M. Kuzenko, S. L. Lyakhovich, A. Yu. Segal and A. A. Sharapov, *Massive spinning particle on anti-de Sitter space*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11**, (1996), 3307.
- [9] I. V. Gorbunov, V. A. Dolgushev and S. L. Lyakhovich, *Galilean spinning particle*, to be published in Izv. Vuzov Fiz. in Russian.
- [10] S. L. Lyakhovich, A. A. Sharapov, K. M. Shekhter, *Spinning particle dynamics on six-dimensional Minkowski space*. J. Math. Phys. **38**, (1997), 4086.
- [11] I. V. Gorbunov, S. M. Kuzenko, S. L. Lyakhovich, *On the minimal model of anyons*. Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12**, (1997), 4199.
- [12] S. M. Kuzenko, S. L. Lyakhovich, A. Yu. Segal, *Arbitrary superspin massive superparticles*. Phys. Lett. **B348**, (1995), 421.
- [13] I.V. Gorbunov, S.L. Lyakhovich, *Geometric quantization of $N=2$, $D = 3$ super-anyon*. Phys. Lett. **B423**, (1998), 293.

- [14] K. Rafanelli, *The relativistic spinning particle: hamiltonian formulation and world line invariance*, Phys. Rev. **D30**, (1984), 1707; M. S. Plyushchay, *Relativistic zitterbewegung: the model of spinning particles without Grassmann variables*, Phys. Lett. **B236**, (1990), 291.
- [15] S. L. Lyakhovich, A. A. Sharapov and K. M. Shekhter, *Massive spinning particle in any dimension I. Integer spins*, to appear in Nucl. Phys. **B**.
- [16] M. Cederwall, *Introduction to division algebras, sphere algebras and twistors*, Göteborg-ITP-94-43, hep-th/9310115.
- [17] L. C. Biedenharn, H. W. Braden, P. Truini and H. van Dam, *Relativistic wavefunctions on spinor spaces*, J. Phys **A: Math. Gen.** **21** (1988) 3593.
- [18] J. W. van Holten, *Stability and Mass of Point Particles*, Nucl. Phys. **B529** (1998) 525.